

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Guited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/965,193	09/965,193 09/26/2001		Brian Gitt	021237-000100US	2809		
28778	7590	02/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		NBROOK, LLP	BEISNER, WILLIAM H				
3558 ROUND BARN BLVD., SUITE 203 SANTA ROSA. CA 95403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
				1741	_		

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠,			ih,	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/965,193	GITT, BRIAN	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		William H. Beisner	1744	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	S
A SH THE - Exte - If th - If Ni - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under this communication. The ensions of time may be available under this communication. The ensions of time may be available under this communication. The ensions of time may be available under this communication. The ensions of time may be available under this communication. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The ensions of 37 CFR 1.	.136(a). In no event, however, may a rej ply within the statutory minimum of thirty I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commur NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 (October 2004 and 01 Decem	<u>ber 2004</u> .	•
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the me	rits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10,14-16,19 and 20 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,7-10,14-16,19 and 20 is/are rejection claim(s) 6 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Applica	tion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examin		,	
10)		cepted or b) objected to b		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= , .	·	404/4)
11\	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
	the second secon	.xammor. 140to ing diagonou		~
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apority documents have been reused au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stag	je
Attachmei	• •	A) 🗖 Internitorio ()		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152 -∸)

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1-5, 7-10, 14-16, 19 and 20 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Price et al. (EP 0196887) and Ostanin et al (RU 2054402).

Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Price et al.(EP 0 196 887).

The reference of Price et al. discloses a method of using a composting apparatus (110) that includes placing a compostable material and composting organisms into a container (112).

Art Unit: 1744

The container (112) has a plurality of side regions and a bottom region defining an inner region (114). The bottom region includes a plurality of apertures (118) through which composted material can pass through. The material is composted in the device and agitated by breaker bar (20) and the material passed through the apertures (See page 9).

With respect to claim 19, while the reference discloses the use of breaker bar system or agitator, the reference is silent as to the use of manual agitation.

The reference discloses that smaller size containers can be used (See page 7, lines 1-5).

In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to manually actuate the breaker bar system for the known and expected results of providing the required agitation while eliminating the need and expense for an electric motor or other related electrical components. A smaller system would allow for the use of manual agitation since the volume of material would be less.

With respect to claim 20, the reference discloses the use of a receiving structure (150) for receiving the composted material.

5. Claims 1-5 and 7-10 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Price et al.(EP 0 196 887) in view of Ostanin et al.(RU 2054402).

The reference of Price et al. discloses a composting apparatus (110) that includes container (drawer, 110) that includes a bottom region with a plurality of apertures (118) and a receiving structure (150).

Art Unit: 1744

Claim 1 differs by reciting that the composting device includes a plurality of containers or drawers positioned within a housing wherein the containers or drawers are in a stacked relationship.

The reference of Price et al. discloses that the device can be positioned within an enclosure or housing (See page 10, lines 14-22).

The reference of Ostanin et al. discloses that it is known in the art to provide a plurality of composting containers or drawers in a stacked and/or back-to-back relationship (See Figure 5).

In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the containers of the device of the primary reference within a housing for the known and expected result of controlling the environment in which the composting takes place. It would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a plurality of containers in a stacked relationship for the known and expected result of providing a means recognized in the art for simultaneously performing a number of different composting steps within the same system. Note in the absence of further positively recited structure with respect to the structure of the drawer and/or relative cooperation with respect to the claimed housing, the use of trays or containers which can be removably positioned within a housing is considered to meet the structural limitation of a drawer and housing as recited in the instant claims.

With respect to claim 2, the device of Price et al. discloses the use of breaker devices (20) for harvesting or collecting the compost material from the bottom of the container through the apertures.

Art Unit: 1744

With respect to claim 3, the reference of Ostanin et al. discloses positioning the containers or trays (drawers) in opposite directions (See Figure 5).

With respect to claim 4, the use of spacer elements would have been obvious for the known and expected result of ensuring that air circulation is maintained within the environmental enclosure or housing.

With respect to claim 5, the reference of Prices et al. discloses the use of an environmental enclosure (See page 10, lines 14-22).

With respect to claim 7, as discussed above the reference of Ostanin et al. suggests the stacking of the composting devices.

With respect to claims 8-10, use of the device as suggested by the combination of the references of Price et al. and Ostanin et al. would result in a method of use as encompassed by claims 8-10.

With respect to claims 14-16, the combination of the references of Price et al. and

Ostanin et al. as suggested above would result in a composting apparatus as recited in claims 14
16, including the presence of compostable material and worms.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Application/Control Number: 09/965,193 Page 6

Art Unit: 1744

With respect to claim 6, while the prior art of record suggests the use of a plurality of drawers or trays that are stacked within a housing (See the prior art rejection above), the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest that the drawers and receiving structures are constructed so as to be positioned within the housing such that the claimed spacer element spaces the drawer from a rear wall of the housing and extends in a downward direction such that when a drawer is pulled out from the housing the spacer element pulls a receiving structure underneath the drawer.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10, 14-16, 19 and 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Beisner whose telephone number is 571-272-1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues. to Fri. and alt. Mon. from 6:15am to 3:45pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William H. Beisner

Art Unit: 1744

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744 Page 7

WHB